IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT	)	
APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO	)	
ACQUIRE NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY,	)	
INC. BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO, LLC.	)	Case No. 24-00266-UT
	)	
JOINT APPLICANTS	)	
	)	

### REVISED APPLICATION REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

**OF** 

DR. CHRISTOPHER A. ERICKSON

October 10, 2025

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	RESPONSE TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. LARRY BLANK	. 2
III.	CONCLUSION	. 7

1		I. INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND A BRIEF SUMMARY OF YOUR
3		ACADEMIC QUALIFICATIONS.
4	<b>A.</b>	My name is Christopher A. Erickson. I am the Garrey E. and Katherine T. Carruthers Chair
5		for Economic Development at New Mexico State University ("NMSU)", and I am also
6		Professor in the Economics, Applied Statistics, and International Business Department at
7		NMSU. I have researched the New Mexico economy for more than 35 years, including
8		having authored or co-authored more than 35 economic studies for clients mostly located
9		in New Mexico.
10		
11	Q.	IN WHAT CAPACITY DO YOU APPEAR HERE?
12	<b>A.</b>	I appear here in my capacity as a private consultant. The opinions I express are my own
13		and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NMSU administration or Board of Regents.
14		
15	Q.	HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED PRE-FILED TESTIMONY IN THIS
16		CASE?
17	<b>A.</b>	Yes. I filed Direct Testimony on October 28, 2024, Rebuttal Testimony on May 16, 2025,
18		and Revised Application Direct Testimony on July 3, 2025.
19		
20	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REVISED APPLICATION REBUTTAL
21		TESTIMONY?

1	A.	The purpose is to rebut the Direct Testimony of Dr. Larry Blank ("Dr. Blank") filed on
2		September 26, 2025, in regard to the economic impact analysis report ("Report") filed with
3		my Revised Application Direct Testimony as JA Exhibit CAE-1 ("Revised Application").
4		
5		II. RESPONSE TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DR. LARRY BLANK
6	Q.	BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE DR. BLANK'S ASSESSMENT OF YOUR REPORT.
7	<b>A.</b>	Two main issues are raised in Dr. Blank's assessment of my economic impact analysis
8		Report: (1) he believes an emphasis should be on income impact rather than output impact;
9		(2) the economic impact analysis Report did not consider the costs from returning shared
10		services to New Mexico. Dr. Blank is also critical of my analysis of the proposed economic
11		development investments for not performing a retrospective analysis of similar past
12		investments.
13		
14	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH DR. BLANK THAT THE EMPHASIS BE PLACED ON
15		INCOME IMPACT?
16	<b>A.</b>	The appropriate emphasis depends on the purpose of the analysis. In some cases, as long
17		as care is taken to avoid confusion in terminology, using labor income impact as a measure
18		of economic impact is reasonable. However, a problem arises in that Dr. Blank appears to
19		use "income" as synonymous with "household income," while the values reported in the
20		Revised Application refer to "labor income," a narrower concept. Specifically, labor
21		income consists of wages and salaries, supplements to wages, payroll taxes, and

1		proprietors' income. It differs from household income in that it excludes property income
2		and transfer payments. My Report was intended to address the overall economic impact
3		of the 20 new jobs on the New Mexico economy. The use of an output impact analysis is
4		appropriate for this type of study.
5		
6	Q.	DR. BLANK ASSERTS THAT THE INCOME IMPACT SHOULD BE ADJUSTED
7		FOR \$30 MILLION IN CAPITAL EXPENDITURES. WHAT IS YOUR
8		RESPONSE?
9	Α.	In his direct testimony, Dr. Blank states that:
10 11 12 13 14 15		We have heard that in addition to the expected labor expenses covered in Dr. Erickson's analysis, there will be capital expenditures of about \$30 million. There remains uncertainty regarding the exact costs to move these support services inside NMGC, and the exact cost may not be known until after the fact. Staff witness Naomi Velasquez presents some accounting in an attempt to itemize these costs based on what we know from discovery.
16 17		However, Ms. Valasquez presents no testimony regarding itemized costs in her September
18		29, 2025 Direct Testimony. The source of these claimed \$30 million in capital
19		expenditures is not identified.
20		
21	Q.	DR. BLANK'S CONCLUSION IS THAT THE IMPACT OF PHASING OUT
22		SHARED SERVICES AND BRINGING SUPPORT FUNCTIONS BACK TO NEW

Revised Application Direct Testimony of Larry Blank, Page 19, Lines 4-9

1		MEXICO ARE OVERSTATED BECAUSE THEY DO NOT ACCOUNT FOR
2		ADDITIONAL COSTS TO SET UP THESE SERVICES. <sup>2</sup> DO YOU AGREE?
3	A.	No, I do not. Dr. Blank has not provided any support for the assertion that locating business
4		services to New Mexico will involve increased costs.
5		
6	Q.	DR. BLANK STATES THAT THE COSTS OF PROVIDING SHARED SERVICES
7		ARE GOING TO BE HIGHER AS A RESULT OF THE LOCATION OF
8		EMPLOYEES IN NEW MEXICO DUE TO LOSS OF ECONOMIES OF SCALE.
9		DO YOU AGREE?
10	<b>A.</b>	No. The premise assumes that economies of scale require co-location of employees, which
11		is an unsupported assertion.
12		
13	Q.	WHAT IS DR. BLANK'S CRITICISM OF YOUR ANALYSIS OF THE \$5
14		MILLION ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT GRANT PROGRAM PROPOSED BY
15		NEW MEXICO NATURAL GAS COMPANY?
16	<b>A.</b>	Dr. Blank criticized my analysis for not including a retrospective evaluation of a previous
17		economic development grant program operated by Emera, Inc. and New Mexico Gas
18		Company ("NMGC)". I recommended not studying the prior programs because of the
19		difficulty in tracking specific outcomes of grants completed years ago. Given those data

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Revised Direct Testimony of Larry Blank, Page 22, Lines 13-16.

1		limitations, any results would have been unreliable and potentially misleading. However,
2		I am able to provide credible anecdotal evidence from one of our team members, Dr.
3		Winingham, who was involved in administering an NMGC economic development grant.
4		That grant achieved a cost per job created of approximately \$2,300, supporting 217 jobs—
5		a performance level that demonstrates the potential for substantial economic benefits from
6		a well-managed economic development grant program.
7		
8	Q.	DR. BLANK EXPRESSES CONCERN REGARDING YOUR ESTIMATED
9		IMPACT OF THE \$15 MILLION IN CUSTOMER RATE CREDITS. IS THIS
10		CONCERN WARRANTED?
11	A.	No, it is not. Dr. Blank incorrectly claims that my estimated economic impact from the
12		customer rate credit program, \$3,614,482, significantly underestimates the actual
13		economic impact. Dr. Blank's error arises from his imprecise use of terminology. Dr. Blank
14		treats income as equivalent to household income, when the value reported in JA Exhibit
15		CAE-1 (Revised Application), Table 1, is labor income. The \$15 million rate credit is not
16		in payment for labor services and therefore is appropriately excluded from the labor income
17		total.
18		
19	Q.	DESCRIBE THE METHODOLOGY USED BY DR. BLANK TO CALCULATE AN
20		ALTERNATIVE ESTIMATE OF THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF CUSTOMER
21		RATE CREDITS.

A. Dr. Blank uses values from the Revised Application to derive an implied labor income multiplier of 1.52, which he applies to the \$15 million rebate credit. This method is flawed because it treats the rebate credit as if it were a wage payment rather than a transfer payment. Unlike payroll expenditures, transfer payments do not originate from business operations, and their effects depend on behavior of the customer who received the rebate.

Α.

### Q. HOW DOES YOUR APPROACH AVOID THE PROBLEMS YOU IDENTIFY WITH DR. BLANK'S METHODOLOGY?

My approach accurately reflects how the \$15 million rate credit would flow through the economy by using NMGC customer data to allocate rebate credits to the counties in which the customer is located. Household spending patterns were calibrated to reflect household spending using IMPLAN data to capture differences in spending patterns and marginal propensities to consume by county. For businesses, spending patterns were adjusted by industry at the county level using 2-digit North American Industry Classification System codes and Bureau of Labor Statistics data. The rate credit was applied only to output, with employee compensation and intermediate inputs set to zero, since the credit does not require additional production or hiring. This structure prevents double counting and ensures the impact estimate reflects actual spending behavior rather than inflated production effects, thereby avoiding the overstatement in Dr. Blank's methodology.

1		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>
2	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REVISED APPLICATION REBUTTAL
3		TESTIMONY?
4	<b>A.</b>	Yes.

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION	)
FOR APPROVAL TO ACQUIRE	)
NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY, INC.	)
BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO, LLC.	)
	) Docket No. 24-00266-UT
	)
JOINT APPLICANTS	)
	)

### ELECTRONICALLY SUBMITTED AFFIRMATION OF DR. CHRISTOPHER A. ERICKSON

In accordance with 1.2.2.35(A)(3) NMAC and Rule 1-011(B) NMRA, Dr. Christopher A. Erickson, affirms and states under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of New Mexico: I have read the foregoing Revised Application Rebuttal Testimony. I further affirmatively state that I know the contents of my Revised Application Rebuttal Testimony and it is true and accurate based on my personal knowledge and belief.

**SIGNED** this 10<sup>th</sup> day of October 2025.

/s/Dr. Christopher A. Erickson
Dr. Christopher A. Erickson

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT	)	
APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL TO	)	
ACQUIRE NEW MEXICO GAS COMPANY,	)	
INC. BY SATURN UTILITIES HOLDCO,	)	Case No. 24-00266-UT
LLC.	)	
JOINT APPLICANTS	)	

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I CERTIFY that on this date I sent via email a true and correct copy of the *Revised*Application Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Christopher A. Erickson, to the people listed here.

NM Gas Company			
Thomas M. Domme	TMD@jkwlawyers.com;		
Brian J. Haverly	BJH@jkwlawyers.com;		
NMGC Regulatory	NMGCRegulatory@nmgco.com;		
BCP Applicants			
Dana S. Hardy	DHardy@hardymclean.com;		
Timothy B. Rode	TRode@hardymclean.com;		
William DuBois	WDubois@wbklaw.com;		
Ed. Baker	Ebaker@scottmadden.com;		
Raymond Gifford	RGifford@wbklaw.com;		
Richard L Alvidrez	RAlvidrez@mstlaw.com		
Coalition for Clean Affordable Energy			
Charles De Saillan	Desaillan.ccae@gmail.com;		
Cara R. Lynch	Lynch.Cara.NM@gmail.com;		
Don Hancock	Sricdon@earthlink.net;		
Mark Ewen	Mewen@indecon.com;		
Angela Vitulli	AVitulli@indecon.com;		
Jason Price	<u>JPrice@indecon.com;</u>		
Stefani Penn	Spenn@indecon.com;		
Federal Executive Agencies			
Jelani Freeman	Jelani.Freeman@hq.doe.gov;		
Emily Medlyn	Emily.Medlyn@hq.doe.gov;		
Dwight Etheridge	DEtheridge@exeterassociates.com;		
<b>Incorporated County of Los Alamos</b>			
Daniel A. Najjar	dnajjar@virtuelaw.com;		
Philo Shelton	Philo.Shelton@lacnm.us;		
Thomas L. Wyman	Thomas.Wyman@lacnm.us;		

Revised Application Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Christopher A. Erickson

Case No. 24-00266-UT

New Mexico AREA	
Peter J. Gould	Peter@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Kelly Gould	Kelly@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Katrina Reid	office@thegouldlawfirm.com;
Joseph Yar	Joseph@velardeyar.com;
Shawna Tillberg	Shawna@velardeyar.com;
New Mexico Department of Justice	
Gideon Elliot	GElliot@nmdoj.gov;
Maria Oropeza	MOropeza@nmdoj.gov;
Nicole Teupell	Nteupell@nmdoj.gov;
New Energy Economy	
Mariel Nanasi	mnanasi@newenergyeconomy.org;
Christopher Sandberg	CKSandberg@me.com;
Collin Poirot	CPoirot@jd18.law.harvard.edu;
NMPRC – Utilities Staff	
Ryan Friedman	Ryan.Friedman@prc.nm.gov;
Nicholas Rossi	Nicholas.Rossi@prc.nm.gov;
Kaythee Hlaing	Kaythee.Hlaing@prc.nm.gov;
Naomi Velasquez	Naomi.Velasquez1@prc.nm.gov;
Bryce Zedalis	Bryce.Zedalis1@prc.nm.gov;
Jacqueline Ortiz	Jacqueline.Ortiz@prc.nm.gov;
Timothy Martinez	<u>Timothy.Martinez@prc.nm.gov;</u>
Daren Zigich	<u>Daren.Zigich@prc.nm.gov</u> ;
Marc Tupler	Marc.Tupler@prc.nm.gov;
Larry Blank	LB@tahoeconomics.com;
Felicia Jojola	Felicia.Jojola@prc.nm.gov;
Agata Malek	Agata.Malek@prc.nm.gov;
Prosperity Works	
Cara R. Lynch	Lynch.Cara.nm@gmail.com;
Ona Porter	Ona@prosperityworks.net;
Western Resource Advocates	
Cydney Beadles	Cydney.Beadles@westernresources.org;
Anna Linden Weller	Annalinden.Weller@westernresources.org;
Caitlin Evans	<u>Caitlin.Evans@westernresources.org;</u>
Michael Kenney	Michael.Kenney@westernresources.org;
Bradley Cebulko	BCebulko@currentenergy.group;
Meera Fickling	MFickling@currentenergy.group;

Revised Application Rebuttal Testimony of Dr. Christopher A. Erickson

Case No. 24-00266-UT

PRC General Counsel Division	
Scott Cameron	Scott.Cameron@prc.nm.gov;
LaurieAnn Santillanes	Laurieann.Santillanes@prc.nm.gov;
Alejandro Rettig y Martinez	Alejandro.Martinez@prc.nm.gov;
Russell Fisk	Russell.Fisk@prc.nm.gov;
Hearing Examiners Division	
Patrick Schaefer Co-Hearing Examiner	Patrick.Schaefer@prc.nm.gov;
Ana C. Kippenbrock, Law Clerk	Ana.Kippenbrock@prc.nm.gov;

**DATED** October 10, 2025

/s/Lisa Trujillo Lisa Trujillo Project Manager, Regulatory Affairs 505-697-3831 lisa.trujillo@nmgco.com